1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOSHUA D. NAGGAR, Esq. (SBN # 274 Attorney at Law 445 S. D Street Perris, California 92570 Phone: (951) 444-8971 Phone: (310) 433-8971 Fax: (866) 887-2764 Email: Joshua@jdnAttorney.com Attorney for Plaintiffs JANE DOE and SANTA LUCAS	SEP 07 2017  E. OLIVAS	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, RI	IVERSIDE HISTORIC COURTHOUSE	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JANE DOE, a minor, by Santa Lucas as her Guardian Ad Litem; and SANTA LUCAS, an individual,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a governmental entity; STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA; ALVIN THOMAS CLAVON; JOHN DOE, a minor; and DOES 1 through 500, inclusive,  Defendants.	Unlimited Civil Case Demand Over \$25,000.00  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES  1. Negligence & Negligence Per Se; 2. Negligent Contracting/Hiring/Retention/ Training/Supervision; 3. Sexual Assault and Battery; 4. Intentional Infliction of Mental Distress; and 5. Negligent Infliction of Mental Distress.  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
20			
21	Plaintiffs JANE DOE, a minor, by Santa Lucas as her Guardian Ad Litem, and SANTA		
22	LUCAS, an individual, allege as follows:		
23		ARY ALLEGATIONS	
24	ŕ	at all times herein mentioned was, a minor individual	
25   26	disabled by Down Syndrome who was born December 20, 2001, residing in the County of Riverside, State of California. The name used by Plaintiff JANE DOE in this Complaint is not		
20 27		etitious name utilized to protect the privacy of JANE	
28	DOE, a victim of sexual assault and violen		
	,		
	JANE DOE et al. vs. RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCH COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	HOOL DISTRICT et al. RCSC CASE NO.	

- 2. Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an adult individual, residing in the County of Riverside, State of California.
  - 3. Plaintiff JANE DOE is the daughter of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS.
- 4. Defendant RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT is a governmental entity, located in the County of Riverside, State of California.
- 5. Defendant STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA is a business entity, exact form unknown, doing business in the County of Riverside, State of California.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA is, and at all times herein mentioned was, under contract with Defendant RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT as a provider of school bus transportation services.
- 7. Defendant ALVIN THOMAS CLAVON is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an adult individual, residing in the County of Riverside, State of California, and employed by Defendant STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA as a school bus driver.
- 8. Defendant JOHN DOE is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a minor individual, born August 27, 2003, residing in the County of Riverside, State of California. The name used for Defendant JOHN DOE in this Complaint is not the actual name of JOHN DOE, but is a fictitious name utilized to protect the privacy of JOHN DOE, an alleged perpetrator of sexual assault and violence. Defendants DOE 1 and DOE 2 are the parents of JOHN DOE, sued herein pursuant to California Civil Code section 1741.1.
- 9. All or some of the acts, happenings, events, and injuries herein complained of, occurred within the State of California, in Riverside County, within the above-named judicial district.
- 10. Defendants, DOE 1 through DOE 500, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names; their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiffs; when their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein.

28 | ////

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

25

26

27

28

11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants, Defendants DOE 1 through DOE 50, inclusive, is legally responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' damages as herein alleged were legally caused by those Defendants, and/or that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' injuries as herein alleged were legally caused by such conduct.

At all times herein, each of the Defendants, including those designated as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, was the agent, servant, and employee of each of the other Defendants, and all of the acts, happenings and events herein referred to were done by said Defendants in the capacity of and as an agent for each remaining Defendant; further, each Defendant herein, has specifically ratified and adopted each and every act of each other Defendant.

## Introduction

13. The present case involves a horrific injury to Plaintiff JANE DOE a 14-year-old special education student, while in the custody and care of the RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (the "District") and STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA (the "School Bus Company"). While on a school bus (the "SCHOOL BUS") on her way to school, JANE DOE was sexually assaulted, specifically, violently raped and sodomized, as well as physical assaulted and battered by JOHN DOE. The sexual assault, sodomy and rape of JANE DOE occurred over a lengthy period of time on the school bus in a zone of close proximity (1 to 2 seats) to Defendant ALVIN THOMAS CLAVON ("Clavon" or the "School Bus Driver"). The School Bus Driver nevertheless failed to take immediate action to prevent or stop the sexual assault in progress. As a result, the trauma to JANE DOE was seriously heightened and aggravated. In addition, the School Bus Company delayed any reporting of the crime on the school bus and failed to render immediate first aid or summon medical treatment for JANE DOE. Later the District also delayed rendering any immediate first aid or summon medical treatment for JANE DOE. At all times, JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) was caused to be personally present during that period of neglect by the School Bus Company and the District after the violent rape, attack and molestation.

#### **Statement of Facts**

- 14. On or about the morning of Tuesday, February 23, 2016, 14-year-old special education student JANE DOE was placed onto her special school bus by her mother (Santa Lucas).
- 15. JANE DOE has Down Syndrome, however, despite her physical and cognitive disabilities as well as her struggles with articulating herself, she was a confident, jubilant, and loving young lady prior to her setting foot on the school bus on that Tuesday the 23<sup>rd</sup> of February 2016.
- 16. JANE DOE's mother represents that she observed JANE DOE get on the SCHOOL BUS and situate herself in her usual seat, approximately 2 rows behind the bus driver.
- 17. It is alleged that the bus provided by STUDENT TRANSPORTATION OF AMERICA was transportation specific to special education students and that it was equipped with seatbelts and video cameras. There were however no human monitors on the school bus to assist the bus driver.
- 18. It is alleged there were three people on the bus at approximately between 7am and 8am on Tuesday February 23<sup>rd</sup>; and those three people allegedly were, JANE DOE, the School Bus Driver, and a young man now identified as Defendant JOHN DOE.
- 19. It is alleged that on the School Bus Driver's route to school JANE DOE was sexually assaulted, specifically, raped, molested and violently sodomized, as well as physical assaulted and battered by Defendant JOHN DOE on the SCHOOL BUS.
- 20. It is alleged that at some point the SCHOOL BUS DRIVER observed JOHN DOE seated where JANE DOE had been. Yelling at JOHN DOE he noticed that JOHN DOE was holding his pants up. The SCHOOL BUS DRIVER stopped the bus and walked toward JANE DOE's seat. There he witnessed JANE DOE with her underwear on but her pants were down around her knees. It is alleged the School Bus Driver motioned for her to pull her pants up, and turned to JOHN DOE and told him he was in trouble for what he had just done. Further, it is alleged the School Bus Driver witnessed sexual inappropriate behavior occurring, specifically, the violent rape, and sodomy of Plaintiff JANE DOE.

- 21. It is alleged that upon confrontation by the School Bus Driver, JOHN DOE was restrained by the School Bus Driver.
- 22. It is alleged that no police or emergency personnel were summoned upon the School Bus Driver's awareness that JANE DOE was forcibly raped, sodomized and attacked.
  - 23. It is alleged the School Bus Driver drove the students to school after the incident.
- 24. It is alleged the School Bus Driver simply reported the incident to someone at the school.
- 25. It is alleged that approximately 2 hours after the violent rape, sexual assault and sodomization that JANE DOE's mother was called by the school on the phone and told there was an incident involving JANE DOE and that she (Santa Lucas) needed to come to the school.
- 26. At this time, it is alleged that no police, emergency personnel, or authorities had yet been called or summoned in order to care for JANE DOE.
- 27. It is alleged that JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) arrived at the school around 9:15 a.m. and represents that there were approximately 8 adults (believed to be school personnel) in a room surrounding JANE DOE.
- 28. It is alleged that JANE DOE began to cower behind her mother and JANE DOE started to tell her mother that she was in pain and her shoulder, arm, and thighs hurt.
- 29. At this time JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) had yet not been told about the violent rape, sexual assault and sodomization of her special needs child, and still did not fully understand what had occurred and was going on.
- 30. At some point between 9:30 and 10:30 a.m. the people in the room (allegedly after great delay) finally informed JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) that JANE DOE was injured and needed to be taken to the hospital.
- 31. At or around 10:30 a.m. on February 23, 2016, JANE DOE and her mother were driven to the emergency room of Riverside County Regional Medical Center ("RRMC"); and again, allegedly, JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) still had not been informed of the origin of her daughter's injuries at this time; which were injuries relating to a violent rape, sexual assault and sodomization.

////

////

- 32. Finally, on or around 11:30 am, or thereafter, and at Riverside County Regional Medical Center, JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) overheard the school nurse tell a receptionist that there was an order from a detective to have JANE DOE treated for sexual assault. And this was how JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) was informed that her daughter had been raped and sodomized. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) only learned of the violent attack by getting to overhear the allegations as represented by the school nurse to the hospital staff.
- 33. At this time the violent rape, sodomy and assault was approximately 2.5 to 3 hours old, and JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) just found out what was going on, and JANE DOE just began to receive appropriate medical care.
- 34. At or around 11:30 am, or thereafter, and at the RRMC, the school nurse allegedly informed JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) that JANE DOE had been sexually assaulted, and that police wanted to have a vaginal analysis of JANE DOE (i.e., rape kit).
- 35. JANE DOE and her mother (Santa Lucas) were called into a room with a medical professional. JANE DOE was made to undress and wear a hospital robe. At or around this time JANE DOE pointed to her private parts (i.e., her vaginal and anal area) and indicated "mommy ouch."
- 36. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) attempted to investigate JANE DOE's representations of vaginal and anal pain but JANE DOE would not let anyone near her private parts. JANE DOE even guarded against letting anyone see the result of her bathroom use and refused to let her mother investigate her urine or stool.
- 37. Allegedly hours went by as JANE DOE was examined, had pictures taken of her body, endured DNA swabs taken from all over her body, had no less than two shots, had no less than two x-rays, and other medical treatment and examinations.
- 38. At the hospital JANE DOE complained of pain to her arm and thighs, and kept rubbing her thighs.

26

27

- 39. The physical exam notes from JANE DOE's initial hospital visit indicate JANE DOE was complaining of, and displaying trauma related to, her upper arm specifically her mid humerus had signs of edema, erythema, and ecchymosis, which included presentation of redness, swelling, and bruising. It is alleged that JANE DOE's attacker held her down by the arm while he violently raped, assaulted and sodomozied her.
  - 40. JANE DOE was tested for gonorrhea.
  - 41. JANE DOE was tested for chlamydia.
  - 42. JANE DOE was tested for syphillis.
  - 43. JANE DOE was given hiv counseling.
  - 44. JANE DOE was medicated and given medicine for pain.
  - 45. JANE DOE was given a pregnancy test.
- 46. The Emergency Room Doctor ("ER Doctor") recommended that JANE DOE receive rape counseling.
- 47. At some point the ER Doctor, or some other (unidentified) medical professional, informed JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) that the detective had received a confession by the alleged perpetrator and that the perpetrator admitted to violently raping and sodomizing her daughter JANE DOE.
- 48. JANE DOE and her family have accrued medical costs related to this treatment in an amount to be determined when the appropriate documentation can be provided.
- 49. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) finally got home with JANE DOE at approximately 7 p.m. that night in the evening; almost 12 hours after JANE DOE had been violently raped and sodomized on the SCHOOL BUS with the School Bus Driver present.
- 50. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) reports JANE DOE did not want to be touched, she did not want to shower, she did not want to undress.
- 51. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) reports JANE DOE crawled onto the corner of the family sofa with a blanket and pillow and just began screaming for help.
  - 52. JANE DOE refused to sleep anywhere but with Mom in her bed at this point.
  - 53. JANE DOE would not take food for the first few days after the assault.

- 54. JANE DOE had a fever for the first few days after the assault.
- 55. JANE DOE had diarrhea for the first few days after the assault.
- 56. JANE DOE vomited a lot for the first few days after the assault.
- 57. A few days to a week after the incident JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) told JANE DOE it was time for school and JANE DOE allegedly yelled "no bus, no school."
- 58. JANE DOE continues to display fear of the school bus and reluctance to attend school.
- 59. For weeks JANE DOE stayed on the small part of the couch and refused to undertake her favorite activities, such as: playing with the family puppy, playing with her brothers, watching television, and listening to music. It is reported that JANE DOE just cowered on the corner of the couch.
- 60. A few days post the assault, JANE DOE's babysitter immediately reported to JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) that something was wrong with JANE DOE and that JANE DOE was going to the bathroom in her pants (which was highly unusual), that JANE DOE ate very little, and that JANE DOE was unengaged. The babysitter allegedly told JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) that JANE DOE was not the girl she knew and that something was wrong. Allegedly these representations occurred prior to the babysitter being informed of the sexual assault.
- 61. Allegedly within a few days of the violent rape sexual assault and sodomy of JANE DOE while on a school bus with the bus driver present, a group of people came to JANE DOE's home and allegedly among them was counsel for the alleged perpetrator as well as interpreters. JANE DOE's mother did not know who they were and allegedly they represented themselves as officials there to help JANE DOE. The group of people entered the family home and attempted to interview and interrogate JANE DOE about the event only days after the sexualt assault and sodomy. JANE DOE's mother represents persons from the group directly examined JANE DOE and asked JANE DOE if she ever kissed the boy before and whether her and the boy where boyfriend and girlfriend. Upon hearing the questions JANE DOE's mother understood they were not there to help JANE DOE and asked them to leave.

- 62. Since the alleged violent rape, sexual assault and sodomy of JANE DOE, while on the SCHOOL BUS with the School Bus Driver present, she has received behavior assessments and physiological analysis.
- 63. The counseling allegedly provided by the school district was performed by Olive Branch Counseling Center, specifically, Nadine Rubio MFT and Ken Olson, MA; and in their post-trauma report they noted:
  - a. JANE DOE began treating with them on or about March 29, 2016, and as of June
     1, 2016, JANE DOE and her mother have received approximately 9 sessions of counseling.
  - b. The report indicates that as a result of the rape, sexual assault and sodomy JANEDOE endured that she is now suffering from acute stress disorder.
  - c. The report indicates that JANE DOE is exhibiting levels of fear, sadness, mistrust, insecurity, and confusion; and these emotions were additionally observed through JANE DOE's body language and play therapy.
  - d. The report notes that JANE DOE consistently refuses to get on a school bus.
- 64. JANE DOE has also been assessed by Victor Velasquez MA BCBA; and Mr. Velasquez also worked with JANE DOE pre-assault.
  - 65. Mr. Velasquez notes: JANE DOE is displaying unusual noncompliant behavior.
- 66. Mr. Velasquez notes: JANE DOE is displaying high levels of age inappropriate behavior, such as, JANE DOE's reversion to requests to be breast fed by her mother. Also, JANE DOE will only sleep with her mother and sister now. Mr. Velasquez notes that during his meeting with JANE DOE she requested breast milk from her mother at least twice and physically attempted to grab and hold her mother's breast.
- 67. Mr. Velasquez notes: JANE DOE's communication has regressed and she is now using fewer words and not communicating as she did pre-assault.
  - 68. Mr. Velasquez notes: JANE DOE's refusal to ride a school bus.

27 //// 28 ////

- 69. Mr. Velasquez notes JANE DOE's mother's desperation as she allegedly does not know what to do nor how to care for JANE DOE and that JANE DOE has regressed to the point she was at when she was a toddler. JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) represents JANE DOE's condition has been a significant burden to JANE DOE's family as a result of the mother having to work less and care for JANE DOE more.
- 70. Mr. Velasquez recommended JANE DOE receive in home behavior management for 24 months at 24 hours per month at a cost of \$200 dollars per hour; approximately \$115, 200 dollars.
- 71. Mr. Velasquez has presented a bill for his counseling and assessment in the amount of \$7,600 dollars, plus will have an additional billing for current evaluation.
- 72. JANE DOE has also been assessed by Dr. Freedman-Harvey, Ph.D., a licensed Psychologist.
  - 73. Dr. Freeman reports:
  - a. JANE DOE is experiencing specific changes associated with trauma; namely, psychosomatic, psychological, and behavioral changes.
  - b. Dr. Freeman reports that during his interview with JANE DOE and her mother (Santa Lucas), that JANE DOE exhibited regressive behavior and continually attempted to breast feed from her mother and exhibit other babyish behaviors (JANE DOE is 14).
  - c. Dr. Freedman reports that JANE DOE is no longer able to care for herself as she did pre-assault and now has to be showered, dressed, and fed like a baby.
  - d. Dr. Freedman reports JANE DOE is still having issues with using the toilet rather than just going in her pants, issues she allegedly never had before.
  - e. Dr. Freedman reports JANE DOE's mood and disposition has altered and JANE DOE is fearful and withdrawn and no longer a joyful healthy participatant in her own life as well as her family unit.

////

f.	Dr. Freedman reports that JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) has to get JAN	
	DOE ready for school and insure the same as JANE DOE is reluctant to prepare	
	and go to the same.	

- g. Dr. Freedman reports JANE DOE has shut down and no longer wishes to attend school.
- h. Dr. Freedman notes that JANE DOE's communication has changed from full sentences to one or two word phrases or no words at all.
- i. Dr. Freedman notes that prior to the incident JANE DOE was social and enjoyed attending church and socializing there, where she was said to be "the light" of the church. Now JANE DOE is reluctant to take part in social activities and sits through church withdrawn and scared.
- j. Dr. Freeman notes that due to JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) having to increase care for JANE DOE and provide her security that JANE DOE's mother and family have endured economic stress and hardship.
- k. Dr. Freeman notes that JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) and JANE DOE's siblings are secondary victims of the sexual assault and sodomy and are overwhelmed with the task of caring for JANE DOE through this period.
- 1. Dr. Freedman has diagnosed JANE DOE with:
  - i. PTSD, chronic anxiety, and regression.
- m. Dr. Freedman opines that JANE DOE needs a specialized therapist in mind body therapies that is familiar with Spanish as well as therapies and modalities which do not require higher levels of intellectual functioning.
- n. Dr. Freedman recommends Imagery Rehearsal Therapy.
- o. Dr. Freedman recommends income replacement for JANE DOE's mother (Santa Lucas) as a way of helping JANE DOE's family manage the household without choosing between much needed income, and the hours that requires, with JANE DOE's need for more attention, healing, and security.

- 80. The District and the School Bus Company, acting through employees, managing agents and school administrators, negligently failed to protect JANE DOE while entrusted in their care, by allowing a violent perpetrator to rape and criminally sexually assault, and sodomize JANE DOE while under school and bus company supervision. The School Bus Company, acting through employees and managing agents failed to seek or provide immediate medical treatment for the minor child after she had been seriously injured. Thereafter, the District, acting through managing agents and school administrators, also failed to seek or provide immediate medical treatment for the minor child after she had been seriously injured.
- 81. The injury on the SCHOOL BUS and the failure to seek and provide immediate medical treatment, occurred within a zone of close proximity to the adults who were responsible for the safety and protection of JANE DOE. JANE DOE was at all times cognizant to that proximity, such that the failure of these adults to quickly react further exacerbated and aggravated the injury.

#### **CLAIMS BY JANE DOE**

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence & Negligence Per Se)

# [Against Defendants DISTRICT, CLAVON and SCHOOL BUS COMPANY]

- 82. Plaintiffs refer to and incorporate by reference hereat, each and every allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 81, inclusive, of this Complaint, with the same force and effect, as if each and every allegation were again set forth in full hereat.
- 83. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to all reasonably foreseeable people, including JANE DOE, to own, lease, manage, maintain, control, entrust, and operate the SCHOOL BUS in a reasonable manner, and to act reasonably to best ensure the safe passage of passengers riding the SCHOOL BUS, including, but not limited to, JANE DOE. The DISTRICT has a duty to enact policies and procedures that are not in contravention of the Federal Civil Rights Act, section 1983, and the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

////

////

- 84. The DISTRICT has a duty to establish school safety and violence prevention programs, under California Education Code sections 32280, 32281, 32282-32283.5. Students entrusted to the care of the DISTRICT have the right to be safe and secure under California Constitution, Article, Section 28, subdivision (a)(7). The DISTRICT has breached that duty and such breach is a proximate cause of Plaintiff JANE DOE's damages. In addition, Education Code sections 234-234.5 supports the legal principle that DEFENDANTS have a duty to protect and properly supervise students. DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to the minor JANE DOE because said DEFENDANTS knew that by providing education to minors, they were charged with the safety and well-being of the minor JANE DOE. The DISTRICT has a duty to comply with standards established by the American Disabilities Act (Public Law 101-336, Title II).
- 85. The California Constitution, Article, Section 28, subdivision (a) provides in pertinent part: "The People of the State of California find and declare all of the following: . . . that the right to public safety extends to public and private primary, elementary, junior high, and senior high school, and community college, California State University, University of California, and private college and university campuses, where students and staff have the right to be safe and secure in their persons.
- 86. California Education Code section 5600(a) provides: The Legislature finds and declares that all individuals with exceptional needs have a right to participate in free appropriate public education and special educational instruction and services for these persons are needed in order to ensure the right to an appropriate educational opportunity to meet their unique needs.
- 87. California Education Code section 5600(b) provides: The Legislature further finds and declares that special education is an integral part of the total public education system and provides education in a manner that promotes maximum interaction between children or youth with disabilities and children or youth who are not disabled, in a manner that is appropriate to the needs of both.

1///

- 88. California Education Code section 5600(c) provides: The Legislature further finds and declares that special education provides a full continuum of program options, including instruction conducted in the classroom, in the home, in hospitals and institutions, and in other settings, and instruction in physical education, to meet the educational and service needs in the least restrictive environment.
- 89. California Education Code section 5600(d) provides: It is the intent of the Legislature to unify and improve special education programs in California under the flexible program design of the Master Plan for Special Education. It is the further intent of the Legislature to ensure that all individuals with exceptional needs are provided their rights to appropriate programs and services which are designed to meet their unique needs under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.).
- 90. California Education Code section 5600(e) provides: It is the further intent of the Legislature that this part does not abrogate any rights provided to individuals with exceptional needs and their parents or guardians under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.). It is also the intent of the Legislature that this part does not set a higher standard of educating individuals with exceptional needs than that established by Congress under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.).
- 91. California Education Code section 5600(f) provides: It is the further intent of the Legislature that the Master Plan for Special Education provide an educational opportunity for individuals with exceptional needs that is equal to or better than that provided prior to the implementation of programs under this part, including, but not limited to, those provided to individuals previously served in a development center for handicapped pupils.
- 92. California Education Code section 5600(g) provides: It is the intent of the Legislature that the restructuring of special education programs as set forth in the Master Plan for Special Education be implemented in accordance with this part by all districts and county offices.

////

93. California Education Code section 56345(a)(4) provides: The individualized education program is a written statement for each individual with exceptional needs that is developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with this section, as required by Section 1414(d) of Title 20 of the United States Code, and that includes the following: . . . . (4) A statement of the special education and **related services** and supplementary aids and services, based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided to the pupil, or on behalf of the pupil, and a statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided to enable the pupil to do the following: (A) To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals. (B) To be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum in accordance with paragraph (1) and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities. (C) To be educated and participate with other individuals with exceptional needs and nondisabled pupils in the activities described in this subdivision. [emphasis added] Under California Education Code section 56363 "related services" include transportation.

94. The notes for JANE DOE specifically reference "curb-to-curb" transportation and that JANE DOE for each and every one of her enumerated goals she was to be monitored. California Education Code section 808 provides in pertinent part: Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no school district, city or county board of education, county superintendent of schools, or any officer or employee of such district or board shall be responsible or in any way liable for the conduct or safety of any pupil of the public schools at any time when such pupil is not on school property, unless such district, board, or person has undertaken to provide transportation for such pupil to and from the school premises, has undertaken a school-sponsored activity off the premises of such school, has otherwise specifically assumed such responsibility or liability or has failed to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances. . . . [emphasis added]

<u> 16</u>

- 95. Having undertaken the duty to provide transportation for JANE DOE, the DISTRICT also had a duty to provide reasonable care and safety in the transportation. 5 California Code of Regulations section 3051 provides in pertinent part: (2) Related services, when needed are determined by the IEP. (3) All entities and individuals providing related services shall meet the qualifications found in 34 C.F.R. sections 300.156(b) and 3001(r) and the applicable portions of section 3051 et seq. and shall be either: (A) Employees of the school district or county office, or (B) Employed under contract pursuant to Education Code sections 56365-56366. [emphasis added]
- 96. 5 California Code of Regulations section 3051 provides in pertinent part: (a) To be eligible for certification to provide related services to individuals with exceptional needs, nonpublic schools and nonpublic agencies shall meet the requirements of sections 3051 et seq.
- 97. California Education Code section 56195.8 provides in pertinent part: (a) Each entity providing special education under this part shall adopt policies for the programs and services it operates, consistent with agreements adopted pursuant to subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 56195.1 or Section 56195.7. The policies need not be submitted to the superintendent. (b) The policies shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following: (5) Transportation, where appropriate, which describes how special education transportation is coordinated with regular home-to-school transportation. The policy shall set forth criteria for meeting the transportation needs of special education pupils. The policy shall include procedures to ensure compatibility between mobile seating devices, when used, and the securement systems required by Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 222 (49 C.F.R. 571.222) and to ensure that schoolbus drivers are trained in the proper installation of mobile seating devices in the securement systems.
- 98. 5 California Code of Regulations section 14103 provides in pertinent part: (a) Pupils transported in a school bus or in a school pupil activity bus shall be under the authority of, and responsible directly to, the driver of the bus, and the driver shall be held responsible for the orderly conduct of the pupils while they are on the bus or being escorted across a street, highway or road.

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28 school, on the playgrounds, or during recess. A teacher, vice principal, principal, or any other certificated employee of a school district, shall not be subject to criminal prosecution or criminal penalties for the exercise, during the performance of his duties, of the same degree of physical control over a pupil that a parent would be legally privileged to exercise but which in no event shall exceed the amount of physical control reasonably necessary to maintain order, protect property, or protect the health and safety of pupils, or to maintain proper and appropriate conditions conducive to learning. 100. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned

California Education Code section 44807 provides in pertinent part: Every teacher

herein, DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to all reasonably foreseeable people, including JANE DOE, to provide reasonable first aid and to obtain or summon emergency medical assistance after her injury.

101. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, each of the DEFENDANTS breached their duty of care and their duties under the above statutes when they carelessly and negligently owned, leased, managed, maintained, controlled, entrusted, and operated the SCHOOL BUS and related tasks on February 23, 2016 by, including, but not limited to, failing to assure that seat restraints were not released or undone in transit, and otherwise failing to monitor activity on the SCHOOL BUS in close proximity to the SCHOOL BUS DRIVER for an extended period during the bus ride, especially considering that there were only two children on the SCHOOL BUS who were of special needs. Further each of the DEFENDANTS failed to recognize, respond to, or assist the immediate medical needs of JANE DOE, which further exacerbated and aggravated the injury.

102. Plaintiffs are also informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, each of the DEFENDANTS failed to act in accordance with their statutory duties, and the above referenced mandatory obligations imposed by law.

103.

as alleged herein.

Code section 815.6.

DOE and damages as alleged herein.

8

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21 22.

1111

////

////

23

24

25

26

27 1///

28

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned

herein, the violation of certain state and/or federal statutes, including, but not limited to,

California Civil Code section 2100, California Vehicle Code sections 17002 and 17150.

above, by DEFENDANTS, and each of them, was a substantial factor in causing injury to JANE

negligent for violating the aforementioned statutes causing injury to JANE DOE and damages

as alleged herein. Plaintiffs also allege that at all times here JANE DOE was a person belonging

to the class of persons sought to be protected by the aforementioned statutes, and that violation

of said statutes was a direct, legal, and proximate cause of the injury to JANE DOE and damages

DEFENDANTS violated certain state and/or federal statutes, including, but not limited to,

California Civil Code section 2100, California Vehicle Code sections 17002 and 17150.

California Education Code sections 39831.3, 39831.5, and 44808, and those specifically

enumerated herein above, and because said state and/or federal statutes constitute mandatory

directives subjecting DEFENDANTS to a mandatory duty designed to protect against risk of a

particular kind of injury, DEFENDANTS may be held liable directly pursuant to Government

104. Plaintiffs accordingly allege that DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were per se

105. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that because

15

12

17

18

20

19

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

106. At all times, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, knew, or reasonably should have known, of the violent propensity of behavior by JOHN DOE, and the risk that he imposed on other students, including JANE DOE, if not properly monitored, supervised and controlled. Defendant JOHN DOE is allegedly categorized by the school as "Emotionally Disturbed". At all times, JANE DOE had no reasonable means of protecting herself from the behavior of JOHN DOE, and was completely dependent upon DEFENDANTS for her protection. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were negligent in monitoring, supervising and controlling JOHN DOE. At all times, DEFENDANTS at all time failed to warn JANE DOE and her mother (Santa Lucas) of the risk they were under, as well as JANE DOE's teachers, the School Bus Driver, and the IEP team.

107. Under the provisions of California Education Code section 49079(a) a school district shall inform the teacher of each pupil who has engaged in, or is reasonably suspected to have engaged in, any of the acts described in any of the subdivisions, except subdivision (h), of Section 48900 or in Section 48900.2, 48900.3, 48900.4, or 48900.7 that the pupil engaged in, or is reasonably suspected to have engaged in, those acts. The district shall provide the information to the teacher based upon any records that the district maintains in its ordinary course of business, or receives from a law enforcement agency, regarding a pupil described in this section.

108. California Education Code section 49079(c) further provides that an officer or employee of a school district who knowingly fails to provide information about a pupil who has engaged in, or who is reasonably suspected to have engaged in, the acts referred to in subdivision (a) is guilty of a misdemeanor, which is punishable by confinement in the county jail for a period not to exceed six months, or by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both.

JOHN DOE was allegedly emotionally disturbed as a result of anger issues, and on information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that Plaintiff JANE DOE's teachers, staff, and school bus drivers were not told of the violent propensity of JOHN DOE. On information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that the activity California Education Code section 49079 was designed to protect, ie. violence, included the violent behavior of JOHN DOE.

1///

- 110. The failure of the DISTRICT to comply with this mandatory duty caused the exact the harm that section 49079 sought to be prevented.
- 111. Government Code section 815.6 provides where a public entity is under a mandatory duty imposed by an enactment that is designed to protect against the risk of a particular kind of injury, the public entity is liable for an injury of that kind proximately caused by its failure to discharge the duty unless the public entity establishes that it exercised reasonable diligence to discharge the duty.
- 112. The negligence of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as described above, was the direct, actual and legal cause of the described injuries to Plaintiff JANE DOE.
- 113. That as a legal result of the acts of the DEFENDANTS, and each of them, Plaintiff JANE DOE was hurt and injured in her health, strength and activity, sustaining shock and injury to her body, her nervous system and person, all of which have caused and continue to cause JANE DOE great mental and physical pain, suffering and nervousness. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and upon such information and belief allege, that her injuries will result in some permanent disability to her, all to Plaintiff JANE DOE's General Damage, as will be shown according to proof at time of trial.
- 114. That as a further legal result of the acts of the DEFENDANTS, and each of them, Plaintiff JANE DOE was required to employ, and continues to employ, physicians, surgeons and other health care providers to examine, treat and care for her, and did, and continues to, incur medical and incidental expenses, which will be shown according to proof at time of trial.
- of them, Plaintiff JANE DOE was prevented from attending to her usual occupations, education and/or leisure activities for a period of time, sustaining a loss of earnings, education and leisure, the exact amount of such damages are unknown to her at this time; but, which will be shown according to proof at time of trial.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Negligent Contracting, Hiring, Retention, Training and Supervision) [Against Defendants DISTRICT and BUS COMPANY]

- 116. Plaintiffs refer to and incorporate by reference hereat, each and every allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 115, inclusive, of this Complaint, with the same force and effect, as if each and every allegation were again set forth in full hereat.
- 117. At all relevant times, a special relationship existed between DEFENDANTS' administrative and supervisory personnel and the students and bus riders under their control and supervision, including, but not limited to, JANE DOE. The DISTRICT has a duty to enact policies and procedures that are not in contravention of the Federal Civil Rights Act, section 1983, and the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 118. DEFENDANTS were duty bound to comply with each and every code section and regulation specifically enumerated herein above, and to exert proper skill, prudence, and care in their contracting, hiring, retention, training and supervision.
- DEFENDANTS' administrative and supervisory personnel owed a duty to take reasonable measures to protect students under their control and supervision, including JANE DOE, from foreseeable injury at the hands of third parties acting negligently or intentionally, including, but not limited to, JOHN DOE and the SCHOOL BUS DRIVER, by not engaging in the negligent contracting, hiring, retention, training and supervision of agents, employees, and/or independent contractors of DEFENDANTS with direct contact and/or interaction with students and bus riders under their control and supervision, including, but not limited to, JANE DOE.
- 120. Plaintiffs do not know, nor do they have reason to know, the names and/or identities of DEFENDANTS' administrative and supervisory personnel who Plaintiffs contend are responsible for the negligent and reckless acts and omissions as described herein, which Plaintiffs contend are the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff JANE DOE's damages. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to set forth the same as soon as the identities of the culpable individuals are identified.

- 121. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANT DISTRICT's administrative and supervisory personnel were negligent and reckless in their contracting, hiring, retention, training and supervision of certain agents, employees, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, in that they knew or should have known that said agents, employees, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, were unfit for or did not have proper equipment and practices for the specific and mandatory tasks to be carried out and performed during the course and scope of agency, such as maintaining, inspecting, supervising, managing, regulating, warning, patrolling, protecting, guarding, training, and controlling the transport of special needs students on the school bus, which was the legal and proximate cause of the injuries to JANE DOE.
- 122. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANT SCHOOL BUS COMPANY's administrative and supervisory personnel were negligent and reckless in their hiring, retention, training and supervision of certain agents, employees, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, the SCHOOL BUS DRIVER, in that they knew or should have known that said agents, employees, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, the SCHOOL BUS DRIVER, were unfit for the specific and mandatory tasks to be carried out and performed during the course and scope of said employment, such as maintaining, inspecting, supervising, managing, regulating, warning, patrolling, protecting, guarding, training, and controlling the transport of special needs students on the SCHOOL BUS, which was the legal and proximate cause of the injuries to JANE DOE.
- 123. As such, Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANTS may be held vicarious liable for the negligent and reckless acts and omissions of DEFENDANTS' administrative and supervisory personnel as alleged herein, including, but not limited to the negligent contracting, hiring, retention, training and supervision of certain agents, employees, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY and SCHOOL BUS DRIVER.

- As to DEFENDANT DISTRICT said liability may be imposed pursuant to 124. Government Code sections 815.2, 815.4 and 820(a).
- 125. As to DEFENDANT SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that incidents of serious injury to special needs students had occurred in the past, were preventable and could have entirely been avoided by monitors, proper hiring, equipment and/or training, such as that injury to JANE DOE, but DEFENDANT SCHOOL BUS COMPANY at its highest levels of corporate responsibility nevertheless failed to implement such monitors, proper hiring, equipment and/or training, and instead did not provide monitors, and hired grossly under qualified individuals without such proper equipment or training, solely to achieve corporate profits.
- 126. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that DEFENDANT SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, at its highest levels of corporate responsibility, acted negligently, carelessly, recklessly, wantonly, maliciously, and in conscious disregard of the rights and safety of JANE DOE. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, said Defendant acted with "malice" and "oppression" in that it engaged in despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights, safety, and welfare of JANE DOE, thereby entitling Plaintiff JANE DOE to an award of punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to California Civil Code section 3294, in an amount appropriate to punish or set an example of the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Sexual Assault and Battery)

## [Against Defendants JOHN DOE and DOES 1 and 2]

127. Plaintiffs refer to and incorporate by reference hereat, each and every allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 81, inclusive, of this Complaint, with the same force and effect, as if each and every allegation were again set forth in full hereat.

27

26

28 1111

- 128. Without consent or legal privilege, JOHN DOE a minor, intentionally sexually assaulted JANE DOE a minor, with the intent to harm JANE DOE as described herein. Such conduct was extreme and outrageous and would be deemed highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 129. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff JANE DOE was physically and psychologically damaged.
- 130. Defendants DOE 1 and 2 are the parents or guardians of JOHN DOE having custody or control, who are sued under the provisions of Civil Code section 1714.1. The conduct of JOHN DOE herein sued upon, was an act of willful misconduct within the meaning of section 1714.1, and said Defendants are therefore liable for medical, dental and hospital expenses incurred by JANE DOE, not to exceed twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

## CLAIMS BY SANTA LUCAS

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Mental Distress)

# [Against Defendants DISTRICT and BUS COMPANY]

- Plaintiffs refer to and incorporate by reference hereat, each and every allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 126, inclusive, of this Complaint, with the same force and effect, as if each and every allegation were again set forth in full hereat.
- 132. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to all reasonably foreseeable people, including JANE DOE, to own, lease, manage, maintain, control, entrust, and operate the SCHOOL BUS in a reasonable manner, and to act reasonably to best ensure the safe passage of passengers riding the SCHOOL BUS, including, but not limited to, JANE DOE, daughter of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS.
- 27
- 28

- 133. The DISTRICT has a duty to establish school safety and violence prevention programs, under California Education Code sections 32280, 32281, 32282-32283.5. Students entrusted to the care of the DISTRICT have the right to be safe and secure under California Constitution, Article, Section 28, subdivision (a)(7). The DISTRICT has breached that duty and such breach is a proximate cause of Plaintiff JANE DOE's damages. In addition, Education Code sections 234-234.5 supports the legal principle that DEFENDANTS have a duty to protect and properly supervise students. DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to the minor JANE DOE because said DEFENDANTS knew that by providing education to minors, they were charged with the safety and well-being of the minor JANE DOE, daughter of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS.
- 134. The DISTRICT has a duty to enact policies and procedures that are not in contravention of the Federal Civil Rights Act, section 1983, and the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 136. The DISTRICT has a duty to establish school safety and violence prevention programs, under California Education Code sections 32280, 32281, 32282-32283.5. Students entrusted to the care of the DISTRICT have the right to be safe and secure under California Constitution, Article, Section 28, subdivision (a)(7). The DISTRICT has breached that duty and such breach is a proximate cause of Plaintiff JANE DOE's damages. In addition, Education Code sections 234-234.5 supports the legal principle that DEFENDANTS have a duty to protect and properly supervise students. DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to the minor JANE DOE because said DEFENDANTS knew that by providing education to minors, they were charged with the safety and well-being of the minor JANE DOE. The DISTRICT has a duty to comply with standards established by the American Disabilities Act (Public Law 101-336, Title II).
- 137. The California Constitution, Article, Section 28, subdivision (a) provides in pertinent part: "The People of the State of California find and declare all of the following: . . . that the right to public safety extends to public and private primary, elementary, junior high, and senior high school, and community college, California State University, University of California, and private college and university campuses, where students and staff have the right to be safe and secure in their persons.

////

- 138. California Education Code section 5600(a) provides: The Legislature finds and declares that all individuals with exceptional needs have a right to participate in free appropriate public education and special educational instruction and services for these persons are needed in order to ensure the right to an appropriate educational opportunity to meet their unique needs.
- 139. California Education Code section 5600(b) provides: The Legislature further finds and declares that special education is an integral part of the total public education system and provides education in a manner that promotes maximum interaction between children or youth with disabilities and children or youth who are not disabled, in a manner that is appropriate to the needs of both.
- 140. California Education Code section 5600(c) provides: The Legislature further finds and declares that special education provides a full continuum of program options, including instruction conducted in the classroom, in the home, in hospitals and institutions, and in other settings, and instruction in physical education, to meet the educational and service needs in the least restrictive environment.
- 141. California Education Code section 5600(d) provides: It is the intent of the Legislature to unify and improve special education programs in California under the flexible program design of the Master Plan for Special Education. It is the further intent of the Legislature to ensure that all individuals with exceptional needs are provided their rights to appropriate programs and services which are designed to meet their unique needs under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.).
- 142. California Education Code section 5600(e) provides: It is the further intent of the Legislature that this part does not abrogate any rights provided to individuals with exceptional needs and their parents or guardians under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.). It is also the intent of the Legislature that this part does not set a higher standard of educating individuals with exceptional needs than that established by Congress under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400 et seq.).

- 143. California Education Code section 5600(f) provides: It is the further intent of the Legislature that the Master Plan for Special Education provide an educational opportunity for individuals with exceptional needs that is equal to or better than that provided prior to the implementation of programs under this part, including, but not limited to, those provided to individuals previously served in a development center for handicapped pupils.
- 144. California Education Code section 5600(g) provides: It is the intent of the Legislature that the restructuring of special education programs as set forth in the Master Plan for Special Education be implemented in accordance with this part by all districts and county offices.
- education program is a written statement for each individual with exceptional needs that is developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with this section, as required by Section 1414(d) of Title 20 of the United States Code, and that includes the following: . . . . (4) A statement of the special education and **related services** and supplementary aids and services, based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided to the pupil, or on behalf of the pupil, and a statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided to enable the pupil to do the following: (A) To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals. (B) To be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum in accordance with paragraph (1) and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities. (C) To be educated and participate with other individuals with exceptional needs and nondisabled pupils in the activities described in this subdivision. [emphasis added] Under California Education Code section 56363 "related services" include transportation.

////

5 | ////

////

146.

that JANE DOE for each and every one of her enumerated goals she was to be monitored. California Education Code section 808 provides in pertinent part: Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no school district, city or county board of education, county superintendent of schools, or any officer or employee of such district or board shall be responsible or in any way liable for the conduct or safety of any pupil of the public schools at any time when such pupil is not on school property, unless such district, board, or person has undertaken to provide transportation for such pupil to and from the school premises, has undertaken a school-sponsored activity off the premises of such school, has otherwise specifically assumed such responsibility or liability or has failed to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances. . . .

147. Having undertaken the duty to provide transportation for JANE DOE, the

The notes for JANE DOE specifically reference "curb-to-curb" transportation and

DISTRICT also had a duty to provide reasonable care and safety in the transportation. 5 California Code of Regulations section 3051 provides in pertinent part: (2) Related services, when needed are determined by the IEP. (3) All entities and individuals providing related services shall meet the qualifications found in 34 C.F.R. sections 300.156(b) and 3001(r) and the applicable portions of section 3051 et seq. and shall be either: (A) Employees of the school district or county office, or (B) Employed under contract pursuant to Education Code sections 56365-56366. [emphasis added]

148. 5 California Code of Regulations section 3051 provides in pertinent part: (a) To be eligible for certification to provide related services to individuals with exceptional needs, nonpublic schools and nonpublic agencies shall meet the requirements of sections 3051 et seq.

////

////

////

1///

- 149. California Education Code section 56195.8 provides in pertinent part: (a) Each entity providing special education under this part shall adopt policies for the programs and services it operates, consistent with agreements adopted pursuant to subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 56195.1 or Section 56195.7. The policies need not be submitted to the superintendent. (b) The policies shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following: (5) Transportation, where appropriate, which describes how special education transportation is coordinated with regular home-to-school transportation. The policy shall set forth criteria for meeting the transportation needs of special education pupils. The policy shall include procedures to ensure compatibility between mobile seating devices, when used, and the securement systems required by Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 222 (49 C.F.R. 571.222) and to ensure that schoolbus drivers are trained in the proper installation of mobile seating devices in the securement systems.
- 150. 5 California Code of Regulations section 14103 provides in pertinent part: (a) Pupils transported in a school bus or in a school pupil activity bus shall be under the authority of, and responsible directly to, the driver of the bus, and the driver shall be held responsible for the orderly conduct of the pupils while they are on the bus or being escorted across a street, highway or road.
- 151. California Education Code section 44807 provides in pertinent part: Every teacher in the public schools shall hold pupils to a strict account for their conduct on the way to and from school, on the playgrounds, or during recess. A teacher, vice principal, principal, or any other certificated employee of a school district, shall not be subject to criminal prosecution or criminal penalties for the exercise, during the performance of his duties, of the same degree of physical control over a pupil that a parent would be legally privileged to exercise but which in no event shall exceed the amount of physical control reasonably necessary to maintain order, protect property, or protect the health and safety of pupils, or to maintain proper and appropriate conditions conducive to learning.

////

- DEFENDANTS, and students have a constitutional guarantee to a safe, secure and peaceful school environment. These laws similarly create a special relationship between DEFENDANTS and Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, in that she has a constitutional right to control the upbringing and education of her minor children and insure their safety both at home and at school. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, failed to acknowledge unsafe conditions, and therefore failed to guarantee safe surroundings in an environment in which Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS' children were not free to leave.
- 153. At all times, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, knew, or reasonably should have known, of the violent propensity of behavior by JOHN DOE, and the risk that he imposed on other students, including JANE DOE, if not properly monitored, supervised and controlled. At all times, JANE DOE had no reasonable means of protecting herself from the behavior of JOHN DOE, and was completely dependent upon DEFENDANTS for her protection. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were negligent in monitoring, supervising and controlling JOHN DOE. Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS fully entrusted DEFENDANTS with the care and safety of her daughter.
- 154. DEFENDANTS owed Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS a duty to take reasonable protective measures for JANE DOE from the propensity of behavior by JOHN DOE.
- 155. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned herein, DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to all reasonably foreseeable people, including JANE DOE, daughter of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, to provide reasonable humanitarian first aid and to obtain or summon emergency medical assistance after her injury.
- 156. The negligence of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as described above, was the direct, actual and legal cause of injuries to JANE DOE, daughter of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS.
- 157. It is alleged that the incidents have caused permanent and life long injury, pain and suffering to JANE DOE, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS and other family members.

158. By holding themselves out as a qualified institution of learning for children, and by undertaking the academic, psychological and emotional instruction and guidance of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS' minor children, the DISTRICT entered into a fiduciary, special and confidential relationship with Plaintiff.

- 159. DEFENDANTS breached their fiduciary, special and confidential relationship with Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS by the wrongful and negligent conduct described herein above, and by so doing gained an advantage over Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS and her children in matters relating to their safety, security and health.
- 160. DEFENDANTS conduct toward Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, as described herein, was outrageous and extreme. At or around 10:30 a.m. on February 23, 2016, JANE DOE and her mother were driven to the emergency room of Riverside County Regional Medical Center ("RRMC"); and again, allegedly, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS still had not been informed of the origin of her daughter's injuries at this time; which were injuries relating to a violent rape, sexual assault and sodomization.
- 161. On or around 11:30 am, or thereafter, and at Riverside County Regional Medical Center, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS overheard the school nurse tell a receptionist that there was an order from a detective to have JANE DOE treated for sexual assault. And this was how Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS was informed that her daughter had been raped and sodomized. Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS only learned of the violent attack by getting to overhear the allegations as represented by the school nurse to the hospital staff.
- 162. A reasonable person would not expect or tolerate the delay to provide reasonable humanitarian first aid and to obtain or summon emergency medical assistance for JANE DOE after the injury, and Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS was present when DEFENDANTS failed to do so for her daughter. Plaintiff held great trust, faith and confidence in DEFENDANTS, which by virtue of DEFENDANTS' conduct has been breached, and DEFENDANTS knew Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS would be directly harmed by such failure.

- 163. A reasonable person would not expect or tolerate the total lack of safety and supervision that occurred in DEFENDANTS dereliction of duties, violation of laws, state and/or federal statutes, leading to the sexual assault, violently rape and sodomization, as well as physical assault and battery of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS' daughter.
- 164. DEFENDANTS conduct described herein was intentional and done for the purpose of causing, or with substantial certainty that it would cause Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS to suffer humiliation, mental anguish and emotional and physical distress.
- 165. The DISTRICT and the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, acting through managing agents and school administrators, failed to comply with duties to the minor child to which they were obligated under the Education Code, and other laws of both the State of California, and the United States.
- 166. The DISTRICT and the SCHOOL BUS COMPANY, acting through managing agents and school administrators, failed to accommodate the special needs of the minor child to which they were obligated under the Education Code, and the above referenced laws of both the State of California, and the United States, both before, during, and after the violent rape, attack and molestation.
- 167. The DISTRICT, acting through managing agents and school administrators, caused Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS to be personally present during the period of neglect by the DISTRICT after the violent rape, attack and molestation, such as to cause her to be a witness to the neglect by DISTRICT personnel in her presence, and so as to cause serious permanent and life long mental distress and injury to Plaintiff.
- 168. DEFENDANTS conduct described herein was intentional and done for the purpose of causing, or with substantial certainty that it would cause Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS to suffer humiliation, mental anguish and emotional and physical distress.

////

169. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; have suffered and continue to suffer, and were prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities, and obtaining full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy and counseling.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Negligent Infliction of Mental Distress)

## [Against Defendants DISTRICT and BUS COMPANY]

- 170. Plaintiffs refer to and incorporate by reference hereat, each and every allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 126, and 131 through 169, inclusive, of this Complaint, with the same force and effect, as if each and every allegation were again set forth in full hereat.
- 171. DEFENDANTS' conduct toward Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, as described herein, was outrageous and extreme.
- 172. At all times, there existed a special relationship between DEFENDANTS and Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, in that she has a constitutional right to control the upbringing and education of her minor children and insure their safety both at home and at school. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, failed to acknowledge unsafe conditions, and therefore failed to guarantee safe surroundings in an environment in which Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS' children were not free to leave.
- 173. By holding themselves out as a qualified institution of learning for children, and by undertaking the academic, psychological and emotional instruction and guidance of Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS' minor children, the DISTRICT entered into a fiduciary, special and confidential relationship with Plaintiff.

<u> 34</u>

9

5

12

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

27

- DEFENDANTS breached their fiduciary, special and confidential relationship with Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS by the wrongful and negligent conduct described herein above, and by so doing gained an advantage over Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS and her children in matters relating to their safety, security and health.
- 175. DEFENDANTS' conduct toward Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS, as described herein, was negligent and done without regard as to whether it would cause Plaintiff to suffer humiliation, mental anguish and emotional and physical distress.
- 176. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; have suffered and continue to suffer, and were prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities, and obtaining full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy and counseling.

# AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION, PLAINTIFFS ALLEGE:

- The allegations of this Complaint stated on information and belief are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.
- 178. Plaintiffs have exhausted their administratively by filing timely claims pursuant to the California Tort Claims Act.
- 179. On or about August 26, 2016, Plaintiff JANE DOE through their attorney of record JOSHUA D. NAGGAR, filed and served their claim for the above-referenced injuries with the Clerk, for Defendant DISTRICT, but said Defendant rejected the claim. At all times through the filing of theis action, Plaintiff JANE DOE has been a minor lacking mental capacity.
- On or about August 26, 2016, Plaintiff SANTA LUCAS through her attorney of record JOSHUA D. NAGGAR, filed and served her claim for the above-referenced injuries with the Clerk, for Defendant DISTRICT, but said Defendant took no action on the claim within the time limits prescribed by law for allowance or rejection of the claim.

1	WH	EREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as
2	follows:	
3	1.	For General Damages, according to proof at time of trial;
4	2.	For Special Damages, according to proof at time of trial;
5	3.	For prejudgment interest, according to proof at time of trial;
6	4.	As to School Bus Company, for punitive and exemplary damages, according to
7		proof at time of trial;
8	5.	For costs of suit and attorney fees herein incurred, according to proof at time of
9		trial; and,
10	6.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
11	Dated: September 2, 2017	
12		4
13		IOSHIIA D. NAGGAR
14		JOSHUA D. NAGGAR, Attorney for Plaintiffs JANE DOE and SANTA LUCAS
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs hereby demand trial by jury.

Dated: September (1), 2017

JOSHUA D. NAGGAR, Attorney for Plaintiffs JANE DOE and SANTA LUCAS